

APPENDIX J

ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE DUE DILIGENCE ASSESSMENT

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment
2 Reddall Street, Yass



Report Prepared for Diverse Project Solutions Yass Pty Ltd

Date 25/01/2025

Document Control

Revision	Date	Author	Reviewed
V1	22/10/2024	N. Cracknell	L. O'Brien
V2	25/01/2025	N Cracknell	

Disclaimer

Past Traces Pty Ltd has undertaken this assessment in accordance with the relevant Federal, State and Local Government legislation. Past Traces accepts no liability for any damages or loss incurred as a result of use for any purpose other than that for which it was commissioned.

Copyright of the report remains the property of Past Traces Pty Ltd. This report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned.

Restricted Information

Information contained within this report is culturally sensitive and should not be made publically available. The information that is restricted includes (but is not limited to):

- ❖ Maps, Mapping Grid Reference Co-ordinates or images for Aboriginal heritage sites, places and objects.
- ❖ Location or detailed information regarding places of Aboriginal cultural significance, as expressed or directed by Representative Aboriginal Organisations, Aboriginal elders, or members of the wider Aboriginal community.
- ❖ Other culturally appropriate restricted information as advised by Aboriginal representatives and traditional knowledge holders.

Information in the report covered by the above categories should be redacted before being made available to the general public. This information should only be made available to those persons with a just and reasonable need for access.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	1
1.2 ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION	2
2 DESKTOP ASSESSMENT RESULTS.....	5
2.1 ABORIGINAL HERITAGE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (AHIMS) SEARCH	5
2.2 HISTORICAL HERITAGE SEARCH	7
2.3 PREVIOUS HERITAGE STUDIES	7
2.3.1 Predictive Model	8
2.4 LANDFORM AND DISTURBANCE LEVEL ASSESSMENT	10
3 FIELD SURVEY RESULTS.....	11
3.1 GROUND SURFACE VISIBILITY	11
3.2 DISTURBANCE.....	12
3.3 RESULTS - ABORIGINAL HERITAGE SITES	13
3.4 RESULTS - AREAS OF POTENTIAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL DEPOSIT (PAD).....	13
3.4.1 RS Yass PAD1	13
3.5 SUMMARY	15
4 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	17
5 REFERENCES.....	18

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides Aboriginal heritage due diligence advice for the proposed subdivision of Lot 4 DP255064. The land parcel is currently used as a rural house lot, located at 2 Reddall Street, Yass. The property has been moderately impacted by the construction of the current dwelling, sheds, associated infrastructure and ongoing use of the property. The study area is shown on Figure 1 in a regional context with details of the proposed subdivision in Figure 2.

This Due Diligence heritage assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW 2010a).

The proposal would involve the following impacts:

- ❖ Construction of industrial lots
- ❖ Connection to infrastructure, such as water, communications and electricity
- ❖ Installation of boundary fencing and potential impacts from landscaping

No heritage sites and one area with Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) (RS Yass PAD1) was identified within the project area based on a review of previous reports and field survey of the project area.

Field survey was undertaken across the project area in accordance with the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW 2010b). The field survey covered the entire project area, with a focus on exposures and sensitive landforms based on the predictive modelling. Ground visibility was moderate at the time of field survey, with large areas of exposed soils throughout, no heritage sites were identified, with one area of potential (RS Yass PAD1) recorded during the field survey.

As a result of the field survey and background research completed for the project, the following recommendations have been developed:

- ❖ No heritage sites and one area of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) (RS Yass PAD1) were identified within the project area based on a review of previous reports and the pedestrian field survey of the project area.
- ❖ If the areas of PAD are to be impacted, then further investigation consisting of subsurface testing will be required. To allow testing progression to an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) and full consultation with the Aboriginal community will be required. The ACHAR must meet the requirements of the following legislation:
 - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (OEH 2010)

- Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigations of Objects in NSW (OEH 2010)
 - Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW (OEH).
- ❖ All Aboriginal objects are protected under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*. It is an offence to disturb an Aboriginal site without a consent permit issued by NSW Heritage. Should any Aboriginal objects be encountered during works then works must cease and the find should not be moved until assessed by a qualified archaeologist.
- ❖ Further archaeological assessment would be required if the proposal activity extend beyond the area of the current investigation.

1 INTRODUCTION

This report provides Aboriginal heritage due diligence advice for the proposed subdivision of Lot 4 DP255064. The land parcel is currently used as a rural house lot, located at 2 Reddall Street, Yass. The property has been moderately impacted by the construction of the current dwelling, sheds, associated infrastructure and ongoing use of the property. The study area is shown on Figure 1 in a regional context with details of the proposed subdivision in Figure 2.

This Due Diligence heritage assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW 2010a).

The proposal would involve the following impacts:

- ❖ Construction of industrial lots
- ❖ Connection to infrastructure, such as water, communications and electricity
- ❖ Installation of boundary fencing and potential impacts from landscaping

These works are high impact and would have a negative impact on any heritage located within the project boundary. Heritage sites may be located on the surface or subsurface in areas of high potential for the preservation of archaeological remains of historical events or past usage by Aboriginal groups.

To assess the potential impacts of the proposed works on heritage this Due Diligence Heritage Assessment has been undertaken.

This report, field survey and associated research has been conducted in accordance to the requirements of the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (OEH 2010).

1.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The due diligence assessment is being undertaken to complete the following objectives:

1. Review of the NSW Heritage Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS), to identify any recorded Aboriginal heritage sites within the project area.
2. Review of historic registers to identify any historic heritage.
3. Review of previous reports in area to develop predictive model of site location
4. Assess landforms present in project area against predictive model to determine potential for heritage sites and determine level of disturbance
5. Complete site visit to visually inspect impact areas or areas assessed as holding potential based on predictive model and record any identified heritage sites. The site visit will also document levels of disturbance within project area.

6. Complete due diligence report with management recommendations to avoid or minimise impacts within the project area.

1.2 ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION

Consultation with the Onerwal Local Aboriginal Land Council was undertaken for the Due Diligence Assessment, with a representative participating in the field survey and providing input on intangible cultural heritage values.

If the assessment finds that impacts to Aboriginal heritage will occur as a result of the development then consultation will be undertaken with the LALC and the wider Aboriginal community, in accordance with the consultation guidelines required by NSW Heritage.






Figure 1: Regional Context

Legend

-  Study Area
-  Highway
-  Major Road










Imagery: © NSW Spatial Services

PastTraces
Heritage Consultants



Figure 2: Project Area

Legend

-  Contour -2m
-  Watercourse
-  Major Road
-  Minor Road
-  Track-Vehicular
-  StudyArea
-  Cadastre



1:3,500

0 25 50 100

Meters

Coordinate System:
GDA 1994 MGA Zone 55

Imagery: © Nearmap

PastTraces
Heritage Consultants

2 DESKTOP ASSESSMENT RESULTS

2.1 ABORIGINAL HERITAGE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (AHIMS) SEARCH

A search of the NSW Heritage AHIMS database was undertaken on the 30/09/2024 covering the approximate 2km surrounding area centred on the project area. The extensive search revealed no previously recorded heritage sites within the project area with 44 sites within the wider search area. The recorded sites consisted of a range of site types, but namely consisting of isolated artefacts, artefact scatters and areas of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD). Other rarer sites like Culturally Modified Tree (CMT), burial, grinding groove and hearth sites were also recorded within the search area.


Within the wider Yass area several studies have been undertaken (Thompson 2003ab & Bowen 2016) which have resulted in the identification of a number of Aboriginal sites, mainly consisting of artefact scatters or isolated finds. These studies have resulted in a site location model being developed for the region. This model predicts the majority of sites will consist of small artefact sites located on level ground or terrace features in proximity to water sources, with larger sites with subsurface deposits being present in proximity to water features such as a creek confluence or major water sources. This is directly applicable to the project area. This predictive model is discussed in more detail in Section 2.2.

The recorded sites on AHIMS for the area are listed in Table 1 and shown on Figure 3 in relation to the project area.








Table 1. AHIMS Site Types


Site Type	Number	Percentage
Isolated Find	9	19.57%
Artefact Scatter	19	41.30%
Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD)	9	19.57%
Culturally Modified Tree (CMT)	3	6.52%
Burial	2	4.35%
Grinding Groove	1	2.17%
Hearth/Habitation Structure	3	6.52%

Figure 3: Previously Recorded Heritage Sites



Legend

-  Watercourse
-  Previously Recorded Site
-  Study Area
-  Highway
-  Major Road
-  Minor Road
-  Track-Vehicular



Imagery: © Nearmap

PastTraces
Heritage Consultants

2.2 HISTORICAL HERITAGE SEARCH

Within NSW Local government is responsible for managing heritage items. This responsibility is mainly fulfilled by listing heritage items in the Local Environmental Plans (LEPs) under the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979*. Council approval is required to impact any listed item.

Heritage items can also be of 'state significance' in which case they are listed on the NSW Heritage Register by the NSW Heritage Council under the *Heritage Act 1977*. These items are usually substantial and consist of buildings, bridges or other structures that represent events in the local area.

A search of the NSW Heritage Register and the Yass Valley 2013 was undertaken for the project. No historical items were located during these searches. A review of historical parish maps was also undertaken including the earliest available historical parish map of the 1881 County of King, Parish of Yass 2nd Edition Parish Map with no known structures or items identified within the project area.

2.3 PREVIOUS HERITAGE STUDIES

A number of heritage studies have been undertaken in the immediate area close to the Yass Township and region. These studies have been mainly commissioned due to residential developments and the construction of the Yass Bypass and associated infrastructure. The most relevant of these studies are summarised below.

Koettig & Silcox (1983 & 1985) carried out a survey for a proposed alternate Yass bypass route. The survey identified nine stone artefact scatters and six isolated stone artefacts. Majority of identified sites were situated on ridgeline slopes or hill crests within 200 metres of a waterway. By far the majority of artefacts were unmodified flakes or flaked pieces and quartz was the dominant artefact material.

Koettig 1988 following on from their 1985 survey, they conducted more survey work and test excavations within a 6km route of the proposed Barton Highway extension. Five isolated artefacts, a large stone artefact scatter and two moderately dense subsurface stone artefact sites were located. The artefacts were made up of flaked pieces, cored and backed blades. The main artefact material was silcrete and the rest was made up of quartz, mudstone, volcanic and chert.

Koettig 1986a & b produced an assessment for the water pipeline between Bowning and Yass along Derringullen Creek. This survey was followed by Subsurface testing of areas of PAD. This survey identified potential landforms along the banks of Derringullen Creek.

Koettig 1986c worked on another assessment for Yellow Creek Road Area for residential Development. Four artefact scatters were identified all holding low significance.

Navin Officer (2001) produced assessment for the proposed Yass substation (4km south of the current project), on low gradient slopes along the middle reaches of Booroo Ponds Creek was investigated. At this location Navin Officer located one small artefact scatter along a spur crest. The site comprised

four flakes of volcanic, silcrete and chert. Confirmed modelling of creek line and spur crest as area of concentration.

AHS (2003) surveyed a 60ha block of land for a residential subdivision in East Yass. Only one low density stone artefact scatter was located during the site inspection and one area of archaeological potential. The artefacts were all quartz flakes located on the crest of a ridgeline knoll. This indicated a spur crest or ridgeline potential.

Thompson (2003a) conducted a heritage assessment for the Yellow Creek Estate located at Part Lot 2 DP255064 Yass (500m east of the current project area). As a result of the field survey, six archaeological sites were recorded consisting of three scarred trees and three isolated stone artefacts within 200m of creek line.

Thompson in 2003b also conducted an assessment for residential development at Part Lot 2 DP623848. Five sites were identified on the property: one scarred tree, one possible burial, one artefact scatter and two isolated finds.

New South Wales Archaeology undertook a heritage assessment in 2009 of the proposed Yass Dam Raising Project. The survey for the project was conducted along each side of the dam wall, the additional inundation area as a result of the dam raising, four upstream culvert sites and the site of a construction compound on the west side of the dam wall. Four Aboriginal sites of low-scientific significance were identified, with 33 previously recorded sites located outside of the areas of impact. A model of ridgeline sites relating to travel and low lying sites near water was developed.

Bowen Heritage Management (2016) completed an assessment for residential development at Lot 107/108 Irvine Drive Yass. The field survey covered 106 acres located to the southwest of the current project area at a distance of approximately 1.5km. The survey identified 3 Aboriginal sites (2 isolated finds and one small surface scatters). The model of site location focused on waterways was found to be supported.

OzArch (2017) completed an assessment for transmission line installation. It resulted in the identification of one artefact scatter overlooking Derringgullen Creek on midslope level area.

Past Traces (2022) completed a heritage assessment for the development of Lot 2 DP1280115, Hatton Park, Yass for residential housing. One heritage site (PTHP1) was identified by the field survey in an upper slope location.

Past Traces (2023) undertook an archaeological investigation for an industrial development area at Lot 8 – part of subdivision of Lots 1 and 2 DP1277037 Yass Valley Way, Yass. No heritage sites or areas of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) were identified within the area of interest.

2.3.1 Predictive Model

Based on the previous assessments completed in the area site locations and types can be summarised as follows for Aboriginal occupation of the region, the following predictive model has been developed for the project area (Table 1). This site prediction model is based on:

- ❖ Site distribution in relation to landscape features within the project area
- ❖ Probability of site type to be present within the project area
- ❖ Natural resources that may have been present and of use to Aboriginal people within the project area.

Table 1. Site Prediction Model

Probability	Site Type	Definition	Landform
Low to Moderate	Isolated finds and surface scatters of stone artefacts	Stone artefacts ranging from single artefact to high numbers	Varies, but most frequent on elevated terraces along creek lines and spurlines – No water sources are present with the project area being 500m from the Yass River. Two crest landforms are present.
Low to Moderate	Potential Archaeological Deposits (PADs)	Area considered on landform to hold higher potential for unidentified subsurface deposits	No water sources are present with the project area being 500m from the Yass River. Two crest landforms are present
Low	Culturally Modified Trees (CMTs)	Trees which have been modified by scarring, marking or branch twining	May be present on old remaining trees - very few remaining old growth trees
Nil	Rock Engravings	Images engraved on flat rock surfaces	Escarpments, rock platforms or rock shelters - not present
Nil	Stone arrangements	Arrangements of stones by human intention, including circles lines or patterns.	Crest lines or large ceremonial areas on creekflats, - not present
Nil	Stone quarries/Ochre sources	Quarry sites where resources have been mined.	Any landform that has not been disturbed – not present
Nil	Axe grinding grooves	Grooves in stone caused by the grinding of stone axes	Usually in creek lines, as water is used as abrasive with sand - not present
Nil	Burials	Burials of Aboriginal persons	Usually requiring deep sandy soils on eastern facing slopes – not present
Nil	Aboriginal places	A place that hold spiritual, traditional or historical significance to Aboriginal people	Any landform, identified through consultation with RAPs and historical sources – not previously reported.

2.4 LANDFORM AND DISTURBANCE LEVEL ASSESSMENT

The landforms within the project area consist of moderately steep undulating hillslopes with two crest features connected by saddle. Water sources are not present, with a single dam located along the west boundary of the project area. The landscape naturally drains to the west towards the Yass River (500m away) at the base of slopes. The Yass River would have provided fresh water and riverine resources being the main source of water for the area. Within the project area no permanent water source is present.

The project area has been impacted by European settlement from the mid nineteenth century. The project area has as a result been under continual grazing and pastoral regimes over a lengthy period of time. These past use impacts are typical for the Yass and Southern Tablelands region and consist of the following:

- ❖ Vegetation and tree clearance
- ❖ Stock impacts
- ❖ Fencing
- ❖ Vehicle tracks – some consisting of minor roads, other of impact trails
- ❖ Extensive impacts in areas of housing including landscaping
- ❖ Construction of sheds, outbuildings and yards
- ❖ Ploughing of topsoils for pasture improvement or light cropping.

All of these landscape and soil impacts reduce the potential for archaeological or heritage sites to remain intact within the landscape. Confined areas of disturbance are present at gates and along fence lines. Exposed ground is present in areas of animal impacts, vehicle tracks, fence lines, under trees and large areas of erosion.

Review of previous Aboriginal sites located in the vicinity indicates a site location model based on level areas in proximity to water resources such as creek lines with smaller sites located on hilltop ridgelines. The study area consists of gentle to moderate undulating slopes classified as holding low overall low potential for heritage sites with two crest landforms considered to hold moderate potential.

As a result of the landform assessment the study area contains overall low potential to contain any unrecorded heritage sites or areas of PAD with two hill crest areas which may depending on level of disturbance hold higher levels of potential. The project area has suffered a moderate degree of previous impact. An aim of the field survey will be to investigate the potential of the landforms, along with the degree of disturbance to verify the desktop findings.

3 FIELD SURVEY RESULTS

A field survey of the project area was undertaken on the 8th October 2024 with the participation of the Onerwal Local Aboriginal Land Council (OLALC) to verify the findings of the desktop review of landforms and disturbance. The aim of the investigation was to identify heritage objects or places of potential archaeological Deposit (PAD). Based upon the background research, known Aboriginal site patterning, and current aerial photography, the entire project area was inspected.

All surveyed areas and items of interest were recorded on a topographic map of the study area (using a GPS and GDA94 MGA55 coordinates), along with levels of visibility, erosion, soil conditions, and evidence of land disturbance.

Ground surface visibility (GSV) is the percentage of ground surface that is visible during the field inspection. GSV increases in areas of exposures such as stock impact trails, roads, gates and along areas of erosion such as creek banks and dam walls. As a result surveys undertaken in areas with high exposure rates result in a more effective survey coverage. The site visit resulted in the following findings.

3.1 GROUND SURFACE VISIBILITY

GSV over most of the study area was low to moderate due to extensive grass coverage across the majority of the property. Bare earth was visible in large exposures and across the project area the average GSV was estimated at 40%. Due to the prevailing vegetation, large areas of exposed ground were present under trees, along fence lines, along vehicle tracks, areas of mechanical landscaping, dam walls and at gate or building entrances.

Exposures were common at moderate frequency across the project areas with large areas of bare soils with natural gravels and shales visibly present. The conditions at the time of the field survey are shown in plates 1 to 6.



Plate 1. View of northeast boundary fence



Plate 2. GSV in the northeast boundary area



Plate 3. View across the side slopes in the northern section (north)



Plate 4. View from south corner overlooking hill crest and house site (north)



Plate 5. Levelled area north of current dwelling on upper and mid slopes (northeast)



Plate 6. Dam cutting exposure with yellow clay and shale bedrock (east)

3.2 DISTURBANCE

The degree of disturbance across the study area was overall moderate, with high disturbance in the area of the current dwelling and rear where removal of buildings is ongoing. The ground is shale covered with a thin clay soil and natural gravels are present. The area of the current dwelling has been heavily impacted by the heavy landscaping of the landforms and construction of the house and several large sheds. Eroded vehicle trails and transmission poles were also present. The area behind the house has been mechanically levelled by tracked vehicles with piles of introduced topsoil dumped within this area.

Disturbance across the remainder of the project area is moderate with disturbance present in the form of prior vegetation and tree removal, windbreak plantings, stock impacts, fence lines and dam construction. These impacted areas are considered to hold low potential for heritage sites.

Within these disturbed areas, the GSV remained high. Soils were displaced in areas and erosion appears active within the large exposures. Sections of the project area had been ploughed for pasture improvement with clear delineations evident between the southern paddocks and the northern, more natural areas.

3.3 RESULTS - ABORIGINAL HERITAGE SITES

No areas of Aboriginal heritage were identified during the field survey despite constant rate of exposures and low vegetation coverage. No known heritage sites will be affected by the proposed development.

3.4 RESULTS - AREAS OF POTENTIAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL DEPOSIT (PAD)

Areas of PAD are defined as landforms that hold higher potential than their surrounds to contain subsurface deposits of past Aboriginal occupation. Based on a review of previous studies completed for the region, areas of PAD would be located in association with waterways (1st or 2nd order streams) on level ground or along spur crest and ridge lines.

As a result, of the landforms and prior impacts, one area of PAD (RS Yass PAD1) has been identified on the hill crest with the remaining project area considered to hold low overall potential. This area of PAD is described in the following section.








3.4.1 RS Yass PAD1


As a result of the field survey, one area with a moderate to high potential for subsurface deposits was identified within the project area (RS Yass PAD1). This area of PAD measures an approximate area of 120x60m centred on GDA94 MGA55 674684.6145151 and runs roughly northwest/southeast across the gentle hill crest in the northern section of the project area. The area of PAD is situated between two dams which in the past may have formed 1st order drains or soaks, with water draining 600m west to the Yass River. This area of PAD is depicted in Plates 7 and 8, and shown in Figure 4.



Figure 2: Project Area

Legend

-  Contour -2m
-  Watercourse
-  Major Road
-  Minor Road
-  Track-Vehicular
-  StudyArea
-  Cadastre



Imagery: © Nearmap

PastTraces
Heritage Consultants



Plate 7. PAD area on hill crest in the northern section (Facing north)



Plate 8. View of RS Yass PAD1 area (west)

3.5 SUMMARY

As a result of the site visit, field survey of impact areas and background research, it is considered that the project has low potential to impact on unrecorded Aboriginal or Historical heritage site. No Aboriginal heritage sites were recorded or identified as a result of the assessment.


One area of PAD (RS Yass PAD1), an area of moderate to high sensitivity is present in the project area on a hill crest location which was identified based on previous research, modelling and the field survey assessment of disturbance and soils. This area of PAD must be avoided or progression to subsurface testing will be required.

Based on the assessment the impacts from the project are as follows:

- ❖ No known Aboriginal objects or places will be impacted by the proposed works.
- ❖ One area of high potential (RS Yass PAD1) to contain unrecorded Aboriginal objects is present in the project area.

The Aboriginal Due Diligence Code provides a flowchart of six questions to identify the presence of and potential harm to Aboriginal heritage. These questions and their applicability to the project are shown in Figure 5. The responses to these questions determine if further heritage investigations are required.

Figure 5. Due Diligence Flow Diagram (OEH 2010:10 – Due Diligence Code of Practice)



4 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this due diligence assessment the following actions are recommended for the project.

- ❖ No heritage sites and one area of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) (RS Yass PAD1) were identified within the project area based on a review of previous reports and the pedestrian field survey of the project area.
- ❖ If the areas of PAD are to be impacted, then further investigation consisting of subsurface testing will be required. To allow testing progression to an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) and full consultation with the Aboriginal community will be required. The ACHAR must meet the requirements of the following legislation:
 - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (OEH 2010)
 - Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigations of Objects in NSW (OEH 2010)
 - Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW (OEH).
- ❖ All Aboriginal objects are protected under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*. It is an offence to disturb an Aboriginal site without a consent permit issued by NSW Heritage. Should any Aboriginal objects be encountered during works then works must cease and the find should not be moved until assessed by a qualified archaeologist.
- ❖ Further archaeological assessment would be required if the proposal activity extend beyond the area of the current investigation.

5 REFERENCES

- Archaeological Heritage Surveys. (2003). *East Yass Proposed Residential Subdivision: Aboriginal and European Cultural Heritage Assessment*. Report for David Richardson Environmental Planning.
- Bowen Heritage Management. (2016). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Report for Proposed subdivision of Lot 107 & 108 DP754923 located at the end of Irvine Drive Yass NSW*. Report prepared for Ruth Condon.
- DECCW. (2010). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010*. Sydney : DECCW.
- DECCW. (2010). *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Dept of Conservation, Climate Change and Water.
- DECCW. (2010). *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney: DECCW.
- Koettig, M. (1986). *Assessment of Aboriginal Sites in the Yellow Creek Area, Yass*. Report for Department of Main Roads.
- Koettig, M. (1986). *Survey for Aboriginal Sites along the Proposed Water Pipeline between Bowning and Yass*. Report to Public Works Department NSW.
- Koettig, M. (1986). *Test Excavations at Derringullen Creek Near Yass*. Report to Public Works Department.
- Koettig, M. (1983). *Survey for Aboriginal and Historic Archaeological Sites along the Proposed Goulburn By-pass*. Report to Department of Main Roads.
- Navin Officer Heritage Consultants. (2001). *Yass 330/132kV Substation Reconstruction Project Archaeological Assessment*. Report to Pacific Power.
- NSW Archaeology. (2009). *Proposed Yass Valley Wind Farm: Archaeological and Heritage Assessment*. Report for Epuron Pty Ltd .
- NSW Archaeology. (2009). *Yass Dam Raising Yass NSW: Indigenous and Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage Assessment*. Report for Department of Finance.
- OzArk. (2017). *Aboriginal and Historic Due Diligence Archaeological Assessment Southern Poles Low Span Remediation (on 13 Southern Region Transmission Lines) Project*. Report for Transgrid.
- Silcox, R and Koettig, M. (1983). *Survey for Archaeological Sites along the Proposed Yass By Pass Route*. Report for Department of Main Roads.
- Silcox, R and Koettig, M. (1985). *Survey for Aboriginal and Historic Sites along the Proposed Alternative Yass By Pass Route NSW*. Report for Department of Main Roads.

-
- Silcox, R and Koetting, M. (1988). *Barton Hwy Extension at Yass: Survey and Test Excavations on the Proposed Alternative Route*. Report for Kinhill Pty Ltd .
- Thompson, L.J. (2003). *Report of the Aboriginal Archaeological Survey of DP623848 Parish of Burra County of Murray*. Report for A.Irvine.
- Thompson, R. (2003). *Yellow Creek Estate Aboriginal Archaeological Survey*. Report for Dept of Public Works .
- Tindale, N. (1974). *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia*. Canberra: ANU Press.
- White, I and Cann, S. (1986). *An Investigation of Aboriginal Settlements and Burial Patterns in the Vicinity of Yass*. Report to NPWS Queanbeyan.
- Witter, D. (1980). *Archaeological Salvage Investigations on the Dalton to Canberra Pipeline*. . Report to NSW Parks and Wildlife Service.

A.1 AHIMS SITE SEARCH

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Reddall Yass1

Client Service ID : 935275

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-4-0071	YCE 4	AGD	55	675173	6144526	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		98750,98836
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Lee Thompson					<u>Permits</u>	1847	
51-4-0378	Yass PAD 4	GDA	55	673891	6144967	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alister Bowen					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0312	Yoss 3	GDA	55	677407	6144410	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Darrell Rigby					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0317	Yoss Pad	GDA	55	677090	6144407	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Darrell Rigby					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0001	Ashby	AGD	55	675671	6143972	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Burial : -	Burial/s,Open Camp Site	992,1038
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	NPWS - Blackheath Office,Miss.Marjorie Sullivan					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0009	Y7, Yass	AGD	55	673366	6145802	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	496
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	ASRSYS					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0074	YCE 7	AGD	55	675358	6144663	Open site	Valid	Hearth : 1		98750,98836
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Lee Thompson					<u>Permits</u>	1847	
51-4-0340	SH1;	GDA	55	677498	6144162	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Darrell Rigby					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0379	Yass PAD 5	GDA	55	673940	6145050	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alister Bowen					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0311	Yoss 2	GDA	55	677382	6144144	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Darrell Rigby					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0313	Yoss 4	GDA	55	677214	6144281	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Darrell Rigby					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0486	Waterworks TSR 01	GDA	55	675670	6144575	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Michael Jackson,Jackson Ward Archaeology Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0020	Yellow Creek Road, Y15	AGD	55	675474	6143614	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	992,98836
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Margrit Koettig					<u>Permits</u>		
51-4-0102	PAD 1 East Yass	AGD	55	676040	6143600	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		102431
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Ms.Trish Saunders					<u>Permits</u>	9,2967,3473	
51-4-0384	Y3	GDA	55	673604	6144925	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		103614
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alister Bowen					<u>Permits</u>		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 30/09/2024 for Nathaniel Cracknell for the following area at Lat, Long From : -34.8407, 148.8803 - Lat, Long To : -34.8055, 148.9421. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 43

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Heritage NSW and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-4-0314	Yoss 5	GDA	55	677184	6144244	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Darrell Rigby Permits							
51-4-0302	Ashby Grinding Groove	GDA	55	676636	6144576	Open site	Valid	Grinding Groove : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mrs.Rebecca Widdows Permits							
51-4-0303	Ashby Artefact 1	GDA	55	676931	6143642	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mrs.Rebecca Widdows Permits							
51-4-0019	Yellow Creek Road, Y14	AGD	55	675474	6143614	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	992,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Margrit Koettig Permits							
51-4-0376	Yass PAD 2	GDA	55	673140	6145204	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alistar Bowen Permits							
51-4-0315	Yoss 6	GDA	55	677050	6144507	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Darrell Rigby Permits							
51-4-0073	YCE 6	AGD	55	675281	6144628	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		98750,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson Permits							
51-4-0375	Yass PAD 1	GDA	55	673172	6145121	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1	1847	103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alistar Bowen Permits							
51-4-0385	Y4	GDA	55	673458	6144585	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alistar Bowen Permits							
51-4-0069	YCE 2	AGD	55	675414	6144614	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		98750,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson Permits							
51-4-0070	YCE 3	AGD	55	675392	6144647	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		98750,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson Permits							
51-4-0377	Yass PAD 3	GDA	55	673110	6145261	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alistar Bowen Permits							
51-4-0382	Yass PAD 8	GDA	55	673542	6144636	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alistar Bowen Permits							
51-4-0310	Yoss 1	GDA	55	677383	6144162	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Darrell Rigby Permits							

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-4-0316	Yoss 7	GDA	55	677044	6144393	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Darrell Rigby							
51-4-0023	Yellow Creek Road, Y18	AGD	55	675474	6143614	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	992,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Margrit Koettig							
51-1-0043	Riverside Camp	AGD	55	675650	6143600	Open site	Valid	Hearth : -, Habitation Structure : -	Mound (Oven)	98836
	Contact	Recorders	Philip Boot							
51-1-0044	Oak Hill Camp	AGD	55	675700	6144200	Open site	Valid	Hearth : -, Habitation Structure : -	Mound (Oven)	98836
	Contact	Recorders	Philip Boot							
51-4-0232	Yass SU15/L1	GDA	55	676519	6144462	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		101437
	Contact	Recorders	Doctor.Julie Dibden							
51-4-0083	Yellow Creek Road YCE 8	AGD	55	675150	6144685	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson							
51-4-0084	Yellow Creek Road YCE 9	AGD	55	675204	6144722	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson							
51-4-0022	Yellow Creek Road, Y17	AGD	55	675474	6143614	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	992
	Contact	Recorders	Margrit Koettig							
51-4-0233	Yass SU17/L1	GDA	55	676580	6143805	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		101437
	Contact	Recorders	Doctor.Julie Dibden							
51-4-0021	Yellow Creek Road, Y16	AGD	55	675474	6143614	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	992,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Margrit Koettig							
51-4-0068	YCE 1	AGD	55	675488	6144599	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : 1		98750,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson							
51-4-0072	YCE 5	AGD	55	675186	6144530	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		98750,98836
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Lee Thompson							
51-4-0381	Yass PAD 7	GDA	55	673685	6144663	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alister Bowen							
51-4-0383	Y2	GDA	55	673349	6145057	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		103614
	Contact	Recorders	Bowen Heritage Management,Doctor.Alister Bowen							



AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : Reddall Yass1

Client Service ID : 935275

<u>SiteID</u>	<u>SiteName</u>	<u>Datum</u>	<u>Zone</u>	<u>Easting</u>	<u>Northing</u>	<u>Context</u>	<u>Site Status **</u>	<u>SiteFeatures</u>	<u>SiteTypes</u>	<u>Reports</u>
---------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------------	------------------	----------------

**** Site Status**

Valid - The site has been recorded and accepted onto the system as valid

Destroyed - The site has been completely impacted or harmed usually as consequence of permit activity but sometimes also after natural events. There is nothing left of the site on the ground but proponents should proceed with caution.

Partially Destroyed - The site has been only partially impacted or harmed usually as consequence of permit activity but sometimes also after natural events. There might be parts or sections of the original site still present on the ground

Not a site - The site has been originally entered and accepted onto AHIMS as a valid site but after further investigations it was decided it is NOT an aboriginal site. Impact of this type of site does not require permit but Heritage NSW should be notified

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 30/09/2024 for Nathaniel Cracknell for the following area at Lat, Long From : -34.8407, 148.8803 - Lat, Long To : -34.8055, 148.9421. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 43

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Heritage NSW and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.